

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/851,443	05/08/2001	Andrew D. Jackson	US010246	4164	
24737 75	90 04/06/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			HARPER, HOLLY R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DRIJ IROBIT 1			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				<u>~~~</u>
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/851,443	JACKSON ET AL.	
	riation, riotion	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Holly R. Harper	2879	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
Theref final reconditi	REPLY FILED 17 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE To fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (find for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the second of the	cation. A proper replich places the applic	ply to a cation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) ∑	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
have been 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a)	they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d)	they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.
	NOTE: see attached explanation.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: se		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or lould be rejected is provided be	o) will be entered low or appended.	and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
_	Other:		1 ()//~··	
٠٠.	——————————————————————————————————————		NIMESHKUMAR D. P	ATEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on 3/17/04, has not been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner. The proposed amendment would change the scope of the claims.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to applicants claim that Gutta's metal coil should not be taught to Shippee, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Gutta teaches the use of a metal coil on the outside of the arch tube to increase structural support. This is applicable to all lamps with an arch tube, including Shippee.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Harper whose telephone number is (571) 272-2453. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Art Unit: 2879

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Holly Harper Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800